FSR'S OWN FIRST REPORTS ON "IMPLANTS". BY GORDON CREIGHTON.

So far as I can see, I think our first "implant" account was in FSR 37/2 (Summer 1992) and was a report from Lorne Goldfader of Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, The Traumatic Abduction Story of Alvina Scott and another Foetus Mystery.

In that case, as will no doubt be recalled, the Vancouver bank clerk Alvina Scott, in her thirties and also, it seems, a minister in a local Christian church - was, unfortunate enough to have repeatedly been visited by "little Greys" since at least 1985 and to have been aboard their craft and there seen "foetal hybrids" floating in tanks and had received an "injection" that later showed up in ultra-sound tests to the astonishment of the attending medical technicians. Subsequently the implant had been flushed out through the urinary tract and lost in the toilet.

Subsequently, because Alvina Scott had been so brave as to reveal her experiences to the local media, she was dismissed from her job at the bank and also coldshouldered by her Christian acquaintances.

Strangely enough, our second detailed account of an implant, in FSR 40/4 (Winter 1995), also came from Canada! (*Implant proved in Canada by Scanning Electron Microscope*, by Laurence J. Fenwick, Editor of CUFORN Bulletin, Toronto, and FSR Consultant).

It was not long before investigators were reporting strange discrepancies in the range of substances of which the implants consisted. And on March 8, 1995, in a lecture delivered in Toronto on *Abductions*, Budd Hopkins

confirmed that, to his knowledge, ten implants had already been examined in laboratories and that no two of them were identical as to the substance contained in them.

In the course of time most of the discussion in the U.S.A. about the implant problem has come to centre around the names of Derrel Sims and Dr. K. Leir, the two investigators best known in the field, and who have now produced their book about it all (reviewed in the foregoing article).

Our own last report about implants was Dr. Peter Hattwig's account in FSR 41/4 (Winter 1996), about the former German Air Force Pilot Erwin Lohre, who in 1993 had had a remarkable UFO experience that began with a sharp stabbing senation in the spine which aroused him from sleep. Subsequently he had two red marks at the base of his spine. He asked his doctor to examine them and the doctor found what seemed to be two little black spots. Shortly afterwards Herr Lohre had two small lensshaped objects come out of his back. He carefully put them away, intending to take them to the doctor, but by the second day following they had evaporated or vanished.

My own implant, which has never been reported, was received by me around about the age of ten years. I may deal with it in a future article.

We continue to hear regularly talk about implants found in the bodies of people in Britain, but very tight lips are the rule, and that should surprise nobody! Few actual details ever reach us. G.C.

THE FRENCH "COMETA" REPORT.

Dear Gordon Creighton, Here is my summary translation of the French COMETA Report, free for copying and publishing, provided that its contents are respected. It must be stressed here that the Report is an independent publication by a private association called COMETA. It is summarized here with the approval of the authors. To translate and publish the Report itself, in part or in its entirety, permission should be asked by writing to the administrator of the association COMETA, Monsieur Michel Algrin, 25, Boulevard Saint-Germain, 75005, Paris, France.

Best regards and very sincerely, Gildas Bourdais, 74 Rue de Normandie, 92400 COURBEVOIE, France. 4/8/99

THE FRENCH COMETA REPORT ON UFOS AND DEFENCE: A SUMMARY, By Gildas Bourdais.

On Friday 16th July 1999 an outstanding document was published in France, entitled, "UFOs and Defence: What Must We Be Prepared For?" (LES OVNI ET LA DEFENSE: A quoi doit-on se preparer?")

This ninety-page Report is the result of an in-depth study of UFOs, covering many aspects of the subject, especially questions of defence. The study was carried out over several years by an independent group of former "auditors" at the very important and serious Institute of Higher Studies for National Defence, or IHEDN ("Institut

des Hautes Études de Défense Nationale"), and by qualified experts from various fields, Before its public release, it was first sent to the President of the French Republic Jacques Chirac, and to Prime Minister Lionel Jospin.

This Report is prefaced by General Bernard Norlain, of the Air Force, former Director of IHEDN, and it begins with a preamble by André Lebeau, former President of the National Centre for Space Studies (Centre National d'Études Spatiales; CNES), the French equivalent of NASA.

The group itself, the collective author of the report, is an association of experts, many of whom are or have been auditors of IHEDN, and it is presided over by General Denis Letty, of the Air Force, former auditor (FA) of IHEDN.

Its name "COMETA" stands for "Committee for indepth studies".

A non-exhaustive list of members is given at the beginning which is quite impressive. It includes:

- General Bruno Lemoine, of the Air Force (FA of IHEDN).
- Admiral Marc Merlo, (FA of IHEDN).
- Michel Algrin, Doctor in Political Sciences, attorney at law (FA of IHEDN).
- General Pierre Bescond, armaments engineer (FA of IHEDN).
- Denis Blancher, Chief National Police.
 Superintendent at the Ministry of the Interior.
- Christian Marchal, Chief Engineer of the national "Corps des Mines".
- Research Director at the "National Office of Aeronautical Research" (ONERA).
- General Alain Orszag, Phd in physics, armaments engineer.

The committee also expresses its gratitude to outside contributors including: Jean-Jacques Vélasco, head of SEPRA at CNES, François Louange, President of Fleximage, photo analysis specialist, and General Joseph Domange, of the Air Force, general delegate of The Association of Auditors at IHEDN.

In a short preface General Norlain tells how this committee was created. General Letty came to see him in March 1995, when he was Director of IHEDN, to discuss his project for a committee on UFOs. Norlain assured him of his interest and directed him to the Association of Auditors (AA) of IHEDN, which in turn gave its support.

It is interesting to recall here that, twenty years ago, it was a report by this same Association which led to the creation of GEPAN, the first unit for UFO study, at CNES.

As a result, several members of the Committee come from the Association of Auditors of IHEDN, joined by other experts. Most of them hold, or have held, important functions in defence, industry, teaching, research, or various central administrations. General Norlain expresses the hope that this report will help develop new efforts nationally, and an indispensable international cooperation.

General Letty, as president of COMETA, points to the main theme of the report, which is that the accumulation of well documented observations compels us now to consider all hypotheses as to the origin of UFOs, especially extraterrestrial hypotheses.

The Committee then presents the contents of the study: In the first part, presentation of some remarkable cases, both French and foreign;

In the second part, they describe the present organisation of research in France and abroad, and studies made by scientists worldwide which may supply partial explanations, in accordance with known laws of physics. The main global explanations are then reviewed, from

secret craft to extraterrestrial manifestations;

In the third part, are examined the measures to be taken regarding defence, from information of pilots, both civilian and military, to strategic, political and religious consequences, should the extraterrestrial hypothesis be confirmed.

PART I: "Facts and Testimonies".

Many of the cases selected are well known by most researchers, and need only to be mentioned here. They are:

- Testimonies of French pilots; M. Giraud, Mirage IV pilot (1977);
- Colonel Bosc, fighter pilot (1976);
- Air France flight AF 3532 (Jan 1994)
- Aeronautical cases world-wide;

Lakenheath (1956); RB-47 (1957); Teheran (1976); Russia (1990); San Carlos de Bariloche (Argentina, 1995).

- Observations from the ground; Tananarive (1954);
- Observation of a saucer near the ground by a French pilot, J.P. Fartek (1979)
- Observation at close range over a Russian missile site, by several witnesses (1989);
- Close encounters in France;

Valensole (Maurice Masse, 1965); Cussac, Cantal (1967); Trans-en-Provence (1981); Nancy (so-called case of the "Amaranth" 1982);

Counter-examples of elucidated phenomena (two cases)

Although the selection is limited, it seems to be sufficient to convince an uninformed but open-minded reader of the reality of UFOs.

PART II: "THE PRESENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE".

The second part, entitled "The Present State of Knowledge" ("Le point des connaissances"), begins with a survey of the organisation of the official UFO research in France, from the first instructions given to the gendarmerie in 1974 for the preparation of UFO reports, to the creation of GEPAN in 1977, its organisation and its results: collection of more than 3,000 reports from the gendarmerie, case studies, statistical analyses.

It then surveys agreements made by GEPAN and, later, SEPRA, with the French Air Force and the Army, the civilian aviation and other organs, such as civilian and military laboratories, for the analysis of samples, and photographs.

Regarding the methods and results, we are reminded of some famous cases (Trans-en-Provence, L'Amarante), and emphasis is made on the catalogues of cases, notably of pilots (Weinstein catalogue), and "radar/visual", worldwide. A historical note appears here with a quotation of the famous letter of General Twining, of September 1947, asserting already the reality of UFOs.

The following chapter, called "hypotheses and attempts at modelling" ("OVNI: hypotheses, essais de modelisation") discusses some models and hypotheses which are under study in several countries.

Partial simulations have already been made for UFO propulsion, based on observations of aspects such as: speed, movements and accelerations, engine failure of nearby vehicles, paralysis of witnesses.

One model is MHD propulsion, already tested successfully in water, and which might, in a few decades, be achieved in the atmosphere with superconducting circuits. Other studies are briefly mentioned, regarding both atmospheric and space propulsion, such as particle beams, antigravity, reliance on planetary and stellar impulsion.

The failure of land-vehicle engines may be explained by microwave radiation. In fact, high power hyperfrequency generators are under study in France and other countries. One application is microwave weapons. Particle beams, for instance proton beams, which ionize the air and become therefore visible, might explain the observation of truncated luminous beams. Microwaves might explain body paralysis.

In the same chapter are next studied "global hypotheses". Hoaxes are rare and easily detected. Some nonscientific aspects are put aside, such as conspiration and manipulation by very secret, powerful groups, parapsychic phenomena, collective hallucinations. The hypothesis of secret weapons is also regarded as very improbable, as also is "intoxication" at the time of the Cold War, or just natural phenomena.

We are then left finally with various extraterrestrial hypotheses. One version has been developed in France by astronomers Jean-Claude Ribes and Guy Monnet, based on the concept of "space islands" of American physicist O'Neill, and it is compatible with present day physics.

The organisation of UFO research in the United States, Great Britain and Russia, is surveyed rapidly.

In the United States, the media and the polls show a marked interest and concern of the public, but the official position, especially of the Air Force, is still one of denial, more precisely that there is no threat to national security. Actually, declassified documents, released under the FOIA, show another story, one of surveillance of nuclear installations by UFOs, and the continued study of UFOs by the military and intelligence agencies.

The report stresses the importance, in the United States, of private independent associations. It mentions the Briefing Document: "Best Available Evidence" sent in 1995 to a thousand personalities worldwide, and the Sturrock workshop in 1997, both sponsored by Laurance Rockefeller. The "Briefing Document" has obviously been welcomed by the authors of the COMETA report. The committee also notes the public emergence of alleged insiders such as *Colonel Philip Corso*, and considers that his testimony may be partly

significant as to the real situation in that country, in spite of many critics.

The report describes briefly the situation in Great Britain, with a special mention for Nick Pope, and poses the question of the possible existence of secret studies pursued jointly with American services. It mentions as well research in Russia, and the release of some information, notably by the KGB in 1991.

PART III: UFOs AND DEFENCE.

The third part, "UFOs and Defence" ("Les OVNI et la Défense"), states that if it is true that no hostile action has been proven yet, at least some acts of "intimidation" have been recorded in France (case of the Mirage IV for instance). Since the extraterrestrial origin of UFOs cannot be ruled out, it is therefore necessary to study the consequences of that hypothesis at the strategic level, but also political, religious and media/public information levels.

The first chapter of Part III is devoted to prospective strategies ("Prospectives Stratégiques") and it begins with fundamental questions:

"What if extraterrestrial?

What intentions and what strategy can we deduce from their behaviour?"

Such questions open a more controversial part of the report. Possible motivations of extraterrestrial visitors are explored here, such as an aim to protect planet Earth against the dangers of nuclear war, suggested for instance by repeated flying over nuclear missile sites.

The committee then ponders the possible repercussion on the behaviour, official or not, of different states, and focuses on the possibility of secret, privileged contacts which might be "attributed to the United States". The attitude of the U.S. is seen as "most strange" since the 1947 wave and the Roswell event. Since that time, a policy of increasing secrecy seems to have been applied, which might be explained by the protection at all costs of military technological superiority to be acquired from the study of UFOs.

Next, the report tackles the question: "What measures must we take now?" At the least, whatever the nature of UFOs, they impose "critical vigilance", in particular regarding the risk of "destabilizing manipulations". A kind of "cosmic vigilance" should be applied by the élites, nationally and internationally, in order to prevent any shocking surprise, erroneous interpretation and hostile manipulation.

Nationally, COMETA urges the strengthening of SEPRA, and recommends the creation of a cell at the highest level of government, entrusted with the development of hypotheses, strategy, and preparation of cooperation agreements with European and other foreign countries. A further step would be that European states and the European Union undertake diplomatic action towards the United States within the framework of political and strategic alliances.

A key question of the report is "what situations must we be prepared for?" It mentions such situations as: extraterrestrial moves for official contact; discovery of a UFO/alien base on the territory or in Europe; invasion (deemed improbable) and localised or massive attack; manipulation or deliberate disinformation aiming at destabilizing other states.

COMETA devotes special attention to "aeronautical implications", with detailed recommendations aimed at various personnel, such as air staffs, controllers, weathermen and engineers. It also makes recommendations at the scientific and technical levels, aimed at developing research, with potential benefits for defence and industry.

The report further explores the political and religious implications of UFOs, using as a model the perspective of our own exploration of space: how would we do it, how would we handle contacts with less advanced civilizations?

Such an approach is not new to the well informed readers of the abundant ufological literature, but it has a special value here, being treated seriously at such a level.

The media/publicity implications are not forgotten, with the problems of disinformation, fear of ridicule, and manipulation by certain groups.

NB: In its conclusion, COMETA claims that the physical reality of UFOs, under control of intelligent beings, is "quasi certain".

Only one hypothesis takes into account the available data:

The hypothesis of extraterrestrial visitors. This hypothesis is of course unproved, but has far-reaching consequences. The goals of these alleged visitors remain unknown but must be the subject of spculations and prospective scenarios.

In its final recommendations, it stresses again the need to:

- 1. Inform all decision-makers and persons in position of responsibility.
- 2. Reinforce all means of investigation and study at SEPRA.
- 3. Has UFO detection been taken into account by agencies engaged in the surveillance of Space?
- 4. Create a strategic cell at the highest state level.
- 5. Undertake diplomatic action toward the United States for cooperation on this "capital question".
- 6. Study measures which might be necessary in case of emergencies.

Finally, this document is accompanied by seven interesting annexes which are worth reading even by seasoned ufologists:

- Radar detection in France
- 2. Observations by astronomers
- 3. Life in the Universe
- 4. Colonisation of space
- 5. The Roswell case The disinformation (an interesting text which will be criticised by some readers, and welcomed by others, including myself).
- 6. Antiquity of the UFO phenomenon. Elements for a chronology.
- 7. Reflection on various psychological, sociological and political aspects of the UFO phenomenon.

The importance of this Report should not be ignored. Ufologists worldwide should consider not only its contents

but the personality of its authors, and in spite of the criticisms which may be addressed to it.

In fact some sharp criticisms of the Report, soon after its release, were made on the *Internet* and in the French press. Curiously enough, one of these critics, the sociologist Pierre Lagrange, who already is rated high as an expert on UFOs, went so far, in an article published in *La Liberation* (July 21, 1999) as to denounce the Report as a piece of disinformation destined to pour ridicule on our subject!

At any rate let us all hope that this present Summary will help to clarify the debate.

NOTE BY EDITOR OF FSR.

Monsieur Gildas Bourdais, whose name is probably not yet known to many folk in Britain (he has spoken here recently, at the Leeds UFO Conference in September) is one of the French investigators who have more recently shot up to prominence and he is a long-standing friend of FSR. He is, it appears, younger than the "first wave" of French investigators - Aimé Michel, A. Veillith, Joël Mesnard, Fouéré, Jean Sider, Geneviève Vanquelef.

However, he is already well known in the U.S.A. as a contributor to their leading UFO publication, the *MUFON UFO JOURNAL*, and is himself the author of two fine books published in France. These are:

- 1. ENQUÊTE SUR L'EXISTENCE D'ÊTRES CÉLESTES ET COSMIQUES (1994 "An Enquiry into the Existence of Celestial and Cosmic Beings").
- 2. OVNIS: 50 ANS DE SECRET (1997 "UFOs: Fifty Years of Secrecy").

We are now particularly indebted to him for having taken the trouble to send us this excellent analysis of the allegedly important new French document OVNIS ET LA DÉFENSE: À QUOI DOIT-ON SE PRÉPARER?, which we give below.

Here in Britain we have a popular saying, "The penny begins to drop", when somebody belatedly starts to perceive the truth of something or other. And, with the appearance of this much-trumpeted COMETA REPORT, produced by a galaxy of prominent Frenchmen, it certainly does seem as though "a few French centimes are beginning to rattle down".

However, as you study its contents, you will note what a timid and craven piece of work the Report is, for it carefully refrains from mentioning all the really important questions, such as the abductions, the crossbreeding and genetic tinkering, the killings and mutilations (of both humans and animals), and the apparent multiplicity of the alien factions and forces now impinging on mankind.

Thanks to Descartes, there is probably no country in the world where the average man and woman are so firmly imprisoned, as in France, in a particular mind-set that it is very difficult for them to conceive that there might be, anywhere in the Universe, anybody or any thing smarter than "Homo Sapiens". So I beg leave to doubt whether this Report is going to have any influence whatsover on the French Government or on anyone of authority in that country.

Unquestionably the French Government, for half a century past, has known just as much about the realities of the "UFO Problem" as the Governments of the U.S.A., Russia, and Britain have known, so my own particular bet will be that, having divulged nothing so far, the French Government isn't going to divulge anything in future. (For it is possible to suspect that Governments, and their Ministers and high officials, may have very good reason to fear that anyone who divulges too much may be called upon to pay with his own life and even the lives of those nearest and dearest to him or her.)

In conclusion it is necessary to point out one more scandalous feature of this so-called "comprehensive Report". This is that, if you scan the list of the source materials used and quoted by the authors, as given in this summary, you will see that there is no mention whatsoever of France's journal *Lumières Dans La Nuit*, which has been running for 41 years, is one of the world's best UFO journals, and, like FSR, has provided an invaluable, steady, stream of careful and accurate UFO reports.

You will see also that there is not one single reference to any of the undermentioned seven names, which shine and scintillate like stars - the names of the great pioneering heroes of UFO research in France over the past half century. The absence of any mention of these people and of their unselfish work, is a downright and damnable disgrace, and a shameful blot on France, and as far as I am concerned renders the report ridiculous -if indeed, as it seems, in the original full text, none of these names and these publications are mentioned.

Here are the names:-

AIMÉ MICHEL (deceased) -FSR Consultant and Pioneer French writer on UFOs and author of many books.

A. VEILLITH (deceased) -for many years editor of Lumières Dans La Nuit.

JOËL MESNARD -Current Editor of Lumières Dans La Nuit

Dr. PIERRE GUÉRIN -eminent French astronomer and UFO researcher.

JEAN SIDER -eminent French UFO investigator and author of several splendid books.

P. FOUÉRÉ, (deceased) - For years publisher of France's very first UFO research journal, half a century ago.

GENEVIÈVE VANQUELEF. Notable French woman investigator of the UFO problem, and author of several excellent books and reports.

DR. JEAN-PIERRE PETIT -Eminent French astrophysicist, most recently Director of Research in the CNRS (French National Council for Scientific Research), specialist in theories of Cosmology and in electromagnetic propulsion (MHD), and author of half a dozen important books entirely on UFOs.

The exclusion of such a man from mention is utterly incredible -but also not a bit surprising, for nobody in France is likely to be anywhere near to comprehending his perceptions regarding "Other Realities"! Ultimately, however, we must not lose sight of the fact, that this report is the work of the french "military", so you can't expect them to pay any attention to mere civilian investigators. G.C.

ARE UFOs WATCHING THE U.S. RADIO-ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATORY AT ARECIBO? MAYBE CONTACT HAS ALREADY OCCURRED? © BY JORGE MARTÍN, Editor of EVIDENCIA OVNI (Puerto Rico) & FSR Consultant.

(Translation from Spanish. G.C. EVIDENCIA OVNI No.17 (1998)

Although few people know it, this famous American Radio Observatory on the Island of Puerto Rico - in use ever since the 1960s in the U.S. search for extraterrestrial life (S.E.T.I) - is a frequent target of UFO activity.

The "official" version is that, so far, no replies have yet been received to their high-powered radio emissions searching for the "Somebodies" out there. But certain very strange happenings - scores of them - around and over the Observatory, might indicate the opposite - or that, at the very least, "Somebody" is extremely interested in what is going on there.

In this article, and also in future issues, I will report on some of these strange cases.

A FLYING SAUCER AND ALIENS IN THE BARRIO DOMINIGUITO SUBURB OF ARECIBO.

Señora Gertrudis Mendoza, from the Cuchi No. 1 sector of Dominguito, has had a contact with the UFO Phenomenon.

One night in 1981, she and her small daughters encountered a UFO and three strange beings on a hillside as they were on their way to meet her husband at his place of work. We interviewed her in her home, and this is what she told us:-

"Up there on that hill, about a kilometre from my own house, at about 11.00 o'clock one night, I saw a vividly bright object

on the left hand side of the road. I moved closer and pulled up at the edge, where there were some railings between us and the thing. There were three beings there, one small one and two taller ones, standing on the ground. The object was round, metallic, grey in colour, brightly glowing, and quite big, with a cupola on top and a series of bright lights around it. The beings, or individuals, were dressed in bright, tightly-fitting clothing. One was small - about 3 ft high, with a large head and no hair, and he was slim in build. He was wearing a close-fitting garb of a greenish-grey shade. And all of his skin looked *greyish*....

"As I said, the other two were taller and wearing tight-fitting clothing of a silvery-grey colour. They were different from the little one - they were of human type. I don't recall their hair colouring. I couldn't see their facial features at all because they had their backs to us and were facing their craft. All three of them were examining the craft. And it was hanging suspended at about 3ft. above the ground, and so not touching the ground at all.

"I felt a very strong urge to approach closer, and stepped out of the car and tried to get over the railings. The beings were in fact only about 20ft or so away from me. I was still trying to get nearer, but I was unable to do so because my smaller child took fright and started to cry. So I got back into the car