




Samuel Rosario's sketch showing (left) the small beings; (centre) the "chief", and (right) the huge "robot".

possible *third encounter* that took place a week later. He does not know what happened on that third occasion.

We asked him whether he could perceive that the small beings visiting him (and under the control of the larger being projected on the luminous panel on his ceiling) could also be beings with an intelligence of their own, or just some sort of android robots such as have been mentioned often in other cases. And he replied very emphatically that they definitely *were* little living beings, with their own minds. As he said: "Yes - of that I have no doubt. But *yes* - they do seem to follow a strict hierarchical order, and to be under the command of that other one, the one who spoke to me".

In conclusion, Rosario said: "They have not returned, but I now feel I'd like to have a more prolonged meeting with them. I could ask them questions about the problems that *we* have here - and maybe they can help us. (He was clearly of the opinion that they are "from another planet".) "But - because I was aggressive to them last time and chased them off with the axe....But anyway, I'd like to have

a dialogue with them. I'm no longer scared of them. It looks as though they are high beings and don't want to do us any harm".

COMMENT BY EDITOR OF EVIDENCIA OVNI.

Here we need to point out a few things about Samuel Rosario's encounter. Firstly, the fact that the alleged "leader" should have "explained" that the paralysis produced in humans in these encounters is due to "the energy field emitted by their bodies" strikes us as *highly suspect!* We don't doubt what Rosario is telling us, but it seems to us that this being was far from being sincere in making such statements. Because already, in numerous such cases it has been perfectly obvious to us that such paralysis is deliberately *induced by them* - both directly - and also by means of technological methods unknown to us, the aim clearly being to immobilize the witness and work on him without any obstacle being raised by him. Also of course as a security measure against any possible aggression by the terrified victim.

Our entire experience in our study of all these cases of this type indicates to us that this being deliberately lied to Rosario about the reason for the paralysis. And, if this is so, then what assurance have we that any of the other "explanations" for the interest in Rosario are real?

WHAT WERE THEY REALLY SEEKING FROM HIM?

Our investigation of this case continues, and in a future article we shall present further details about it.

COMMENT FROM GORDON CREIGHTON.

Yes - as usual it seems that the victim was completely taken in by it! How stupid, how naive, so many of us seem to be! Can none of us resist this Satanic influence? ■

MORE ABOUT THE FRENCH LADY WHO TRIED TO CATCH A UFO CRITTER. By Gordon Creighton.

We now know that the real name of this intrepid lady, now more than 80 years old, is Mme. Rey, and this photograph, supplied by Monsieur Claude Raffy, shows her with him when he went to interview her in June 1995.

In the meantime, there is other very important information on this case which we are now under an

obligation to publish immediately.

To our very great surprise, we have received a letter from another of the FSR Consultants, Madame Geneviève Vanquelef of Argèles-sur-Mer in the South of France, informing us that in fact this story of Madame Rey has already been investigated by her (Madame Vanquelef), and reported in its entirety in

a small French ufological research review called *Contact OVNI* (autumn issue, 1995), and that even the sketch shown by us on the front cover of FSR 42/3 is indeed Mme Vanquelef's own sketch, as the initials on it, GV, indicate!

We, of course, had no idea of all of this, because we had not seen the original article in *Contact OVNI*, and consequently did not know that one of our own Consultants had already covered the story. (Several other people have also written to tell us that the case was already in print.)

Describing Mme Rey, Geneviève Vanquelef had this to say:-

"I myself had the pleasure of meeting Mme Rey in 1996 when she and a friend passed through here (Argèles-sur-Mer) en route to Spain. Mme Rey owns property abroad. And it is quite true that she is still amazingly dynamic and voluble! When we met she once again related the entire episode of her encounter to me, without the slightest change or discrepancy. And she also told me that Monsieur Raffy had recently paid her a friendly visit and interviewed her on the case." ■

BOOK REVIEW.

By Dr Ivor Grattan-Guinness, FSR Consultant.

The Archives of the Mind, by Archie E.

Roy, Professor Emeritus of Astronomy in the University of Glasgow, and Vice-President of the Society for Psychical Research.

Stansted Mount Fitchet: SNU Publications, 1996.

Preface by Colin Wilson. xv+11 +384 pages.

ISBN: 0 902036 13 0 (paperback).

£14.55 from publishers (P&P included).

This book, by a former president of the Society for Psychical Research (SPR), concentrates on cases of possible reincarnation and allied phenomena such as automatic writing.

Each of the first 18 chapters contains a quite detailed summary of one or two cases. Some of them are well known from the past, such as Patience Worth and cross-correspondences (which still strike me as rather contrived analyses); but several are taken from non-Western percipients, as researched in recent years by Ian Stevenson, Erlendur Haroldsson and others, and reported in the publications of the SPR and elsewhere. The author puts forward these cases as challenges to the "septic sceptics", pointing to the large number of obscure details which they involve and which therefore are all the more unlikely to have been guessed at by chance.

In the last three chapters the author sketches out his own theory about these processes. Assuming



Mme Rey with Claude Raffy, June 1995

that humans have the ability both to deposit information in some akashic-like record, and also to retrieve it from there, he posits two kinds of interface of the brain: Between its sense data (including memory) and their associated percepts, and between these percepts and the presumed data-base. The approach relies heavily on analogies from computer systems which might therefore date it as much as fortify it. There is no specific discussion of ufology, although this model may be of some help in modelling possible communication with other intelligences, including by non-verbal means.

The book is rounded off by an excellent bibliography and deserves to have a wide readership. ■

HOW TO REACH US AT FSR:-

- 1) Write to the Editor at:
FSR Publications Ltd,
P.O. Box 162, High Wycombe, Bucks,
HP13 5DZ, UK.
- 2) Send us an e-mail at fsr_fsr@hotmail.com
- 3) Telephone the Editor on 01923-779018.

WHITLEY STRIEBER BOOSTS FSR AGAIN. FSR IN TOP 5% OF WEB SITES. By Gordon Creighton.



FSR'S AWARDS ON THE WORLD WIDE WEB.

I hope that readers will forgive me if I once more "blow our own trumpet", and ram home to them the fact that FSR has had quite a lot of nice things said about it recently on the Internet!

1) As will be recalled, we published the following note on page 9 of FSR 41/1 (1996):-

FSR in Top 5% of Web Sites.

Paul Whitehead reports that our own web site has become one of the most popular in the world, as shown by independent research carried out by US-based *Point Survey*.

Point Survey told us: "Congratulations, the FSR site has been rated among the top 5% of all sites on the Internet. We invite you to display the prestigious 'Top 5% of the Web' Badge".

We in turn give our congratulations to FSR's 'Web Master', Dean Ladds, a keen FSR reader who created the site for us. Dean has put *Point Survey*'s badge on the site, and, like us, is delighted with the international response to FSR on the Internet.

2) Subsequently (in FSR 41/3) Paul Whitehead reported that he had received an e-mail stating that FSR had been awarded a top 4-star rating from *Magellan* (USA), which is part of the prestigious McKinley Group, which was founded in 1993 by a team of international publishers, technologists, and information specialists. *Magellan* specialise in

publishing a Directory of Internet Sites, and reviewing them.

Magellan told us: "Congratulations. Your site has excelled in our rigorous review process, in which we consider three primary factors -depth of content, ease of exploration, and Net appeal. Your 4-star rating is the highest rating an Internet site can achieve. We are therefore awarding FSR a special logo, and we invite you to put this on the FSR Internet site."

3) Shortly thereafter came the first boost for us from Whitley Strieber. He began by apologising for having omitted us from his original list of links to sites, and then went on to say this:-

"No UFO site is complete without a link to FSR, the British magazine that leads the field in terms of the quality of its research and the thoroughness of its approach. Look it over and think about subscribing to this one."

4) The latest is that, on November 10, 1997, Paul Whitehead received this further e-mail:-

"Just a note to tell you that, in my new book, *Communion II*, out in the USA in May, I am going to recommend FSR as the best UFO publication in the world, and include a link to your home pages. The book will recommend only 5 or 6 sites, and just one magazine. -Best, Whitley Strieber." ■

MAILBAG

SATANIC POWER IN THE WORLD.

(Translation from Spanish -G.C.)

1) From **Salvador Freixedo**, August 18, 1997

Dear Friend Gordon,

This last issue of FSR (No. 42/2) is **stupendous** -as all of them are -especially your article on the Greek text of St. Paul's statement, which is indeed something that ought to make Christian believers reflect far more deeply. The manner in which this text, as well as other texts (for example the "**Sons of God**" in *Genesis* -and the constant mention of the "**ELOHIM**" etc.) have been maliciously mis-translated in order to hide what really is involved therein, is quite incredible.

Unquestionably "**O arkhon tou kosmou toutou**" has now been operating pretty astutely for a long time past!

Stupendous too are the articles by Don Worley, who is an example of how all the ufologists ought to work instead of continually fighting among themselves as they do. Please convey our warmest thanks to him.

What a difference between his sort of investigations and the investigations of those folk who have allowed themselves to be hoodwinked with the so-called "autopsy" of the "Roswell corpse", and are still arguing about it all and chewing it over and publishing their books.

Un abrazo! Yrs,

Salvador Freixedo,
FSR Consultant,
32454 Cennle-La Quintá,
Orense Province, Spain.

Tel. & Fax: 988-404107
Mobile tel: 908-888887
E-mail: freixamo@interbook.net

NOTE BY EDITOR.

As our readers will probably know, Sr. Freixedo is a former Jesuit priest and a man of immense erudition and experience. ■

2) From **Dr Max Edwards**, August 31, 1997.

Dear Gordon,

Regarding FSR 42/2, the very first items to which I turned were, of course, those by yourself and Don Worley. I am greatly struck by what you have both written, because early in August I had just received from A. Cyril Marystone (New York City) a copy of his unpublished booklet entitled **Multiple Personality Disorder and UFO Abductions**. It also contains articles by other people, Budd Hopkins being one of them, and another being Miss Donna Higbee of California, who had written to me previously after having seen some of my own articles in *Alternate Perceptions* (issued in Tennessee). She is apparently doing very good work with ex-abductees, by means of hypnosis, showing them that the **aliens are liars**. But what impressed me so greatly in this Marystone booklet, as well as the current FSR, No. 42/2, is the sudden realisation that they have brought about in me that the "Ufonauts" are **demonic, satanic**.

A long time ago there were folk who were already warning me that this is what the creatures were, and at first I thought it was a far-fetched theory. But, as the years have rolled on, I have come to realise more and more that they are almost certainly demonic. And this is now my firm belief.

Then, to my great amazement, I find that you and Don Worley agree on this. I had myself mentioned the idea, as a possible explanation, in one of my letters to Salvador Freixedo in Spain, but retrospectively I must confess that I almost feel foolish for having taken so long in coming to realise this **fact**. But I am by nature **'from Missouri'**, as they say, and am slow to agree until I am **VERY** clearly shown.

And I do hope that you'll see a copy of this Marystone booklet.

In earlier articles of mine I have from time to time written about the **"deceptiveness of Satan"**, and even hinted at a possible/probable demonic connection with the UFOs, and therefore I am delighted to see that you and Don Worley are saying what you are in FSR 42/2 **because it is now high time for mankind to be confronted with this explanation**.

If I were the Devil, my main policy would naturally be to try to

convince people that I **"don't exist"** -as C.S. Lewis has shown in his wonderful little essay. And today's worldwide tendency to 'disbelieve in God' and to imagine that 'evil is merely the absence of good' renders mankind highly vulnerable to evil infiltration.

As an example, I can mention that a certain local poet has just died here. He belonged to a group of individuals who imagined themselves to be "warlocks and witches", but in fact was just little more than "another hot-air artist". Yet the local press gave his death great coverage, not only on one day, but on several! WHY? Yet hardly anything whatever was said in the local Press about the public remarks made about him by a well-known local religious leader.

It all seems clearly to be because the Press are also infiltrated -like everyone else and everything else.

In publishing these articles by Don Worley and yourself you are doing a capital job of informing the public at large. What you are saying is of absolutely vital importance for all of mankind. I congratulate you both on fearlessly going ahead, in spite of the criticism that you will surely receive.

It is impossible to divorce ufology from religion.

Yours as ever,

Max,
(Dr.P.H.M. Edwards, Ph.D.),
FSR Consultant,
Suite 212, 685 Niagara Street,
Victoria, British Columbia,
Canada V8V 4X7.

NOTE BY EDITOR.

Yes, I already have Cyril Marystone's excellent new essay, and I hope to publish it. The trouble, however, with Cyril Marystone is that his contributions, all so excellently written and researched, tend to be not mere booklets **but great books**, and our pile of important articles waiting to go in is already nearly a foot high, and we have only 26 pages to an issue!

On this basic, fundamental problem of the nature of Evil, my viewpoint is not merely a Christian one, for I have spent a great deal of a very long life in studying most of the world's religions pretty thoroughly - not all, I admit, for I know nothing yet about the Sikhs; and there are also others. But what is abundantly clear to me is that every one of these great religious traditions is just as aware of Evil as the Christians are. And - make no mistake about it -what is looming now is a deadly threat to all of mankind, not solely to Christians.

OF COURSE we will be ridiculed and laughed out of court, and we have already had a sample of it. When the *Church Times* and our two principal dailies, the *Times* and the *Daily Telegraph*, both of Feb. 28 1997, reported recently that Admiral of the Fleet, Lord Hill-Norton had spoken of the alien force now operating among us and in us as **Satanic**, and I echoed his words, we were both rewarded with the predictable put-down and the usual swipe of cold fish. And the embarrassing 'hot potato' was promptly dropped with a resounding thud. **But the problem isn't going to go away, and in the short time now remaining it is going to get a hell of a lot worse.**

In the impressive religious service devoted to his greatly loved sister, the young Earl Spencer recently uttered a few words that I rate as being perhaps the most important piece of comment known to have been made by any human being this century.

As everyone can see, a great proportion of our social and political and financial and religious leaders are scoundrels, and, as Max Edwards points out, of none is this more true than of our Press and our Media in general.

Sixty percent of the scientists in the English-speaking world are materialists and atheists. And a lot of them, like Britain's school teachers, are probably still Marxists too. Our entire society is defenceless before the crook and the thug and the rapist and the terrorist, as the daily scene confirms. It is a thoroughly sick society.

What Earl Spencer said at the close of his valedictory words for his sister, Diana, Princess of Wales, in Westminster Abbey on September 6th 1997, was as follows, and it was aimed directly at our rotten, evil newspapers and their evil personnel:-

"There is no doubt that she was looking for a new direction in her life. She talked endlessly of getting away from England, mainly because of the treatment that she had received at the hands of the