

archived as http://www.stealthskater.com/Documents/Vallee_13.pdf

more related articles at <http://www.stealthskater.com/UFO.htm#Vallee>

note: because important websites are frequently "here today but gone tomorrow", the following was archived from <http://dailygrail.com/features/jacques-vallee-messengers-of-deception> on July 18, 2008. This is NOT an attempt to divert readers from the aforementioned website. Indeed, the reader should only read this back-up copy if the updated original cannot be found at the original author's site.

Jacques Vallee on "Messengers of Deception"

by Daily Grail Publishing (posted July 17, 2008 by Greg)

<http://dailygrail.com/news/messengers-of-deception>

I'm very pleased to announce that Daily Grail Publishing has released a reprint of Jacques Vallee's UFO classic Messengers of Deception: UFO Contacts and Cults, which you can pick up from [Amazon US](#) and [Amazon UK](#).

The new edition has had a re-edit by Dr Vallee as well as being typeset anew. Most readers will know of Jacques Vallee's high standing in the field of ufology and Messengers of Deception is one of his most acclaimed titles.

The prescience of Vallee's research is obvious when one considers two of the groups which he identified as being of note in this seminal 1979 book -- the Raelians and the Heaven's Gate cult -- have since gone on to enter the public consciousness for exactly the reasons Vallee predicted. In the latter case, the section of the book "It Only Costs Your Life" was later proven to be, rather sadly, right on the mark.



Too many cases of "accidental" alien contact... UFO cults praying to the skies... secret "psychotronic" weapons for bending the human mind. The evidence Jacques Vallee reveals after many years of scientific investigation adds up to something more menacing than monsters from outer space. Messengers of Deception documents the growing effect of UFO contact claims on our lives and of the belief systems prevalent in our society. It explores the hidden realities of the cults, the contactees, the murky political intrigues, and the motivations of the investigators.

"As suspenseful as a Hitchcock Thriller, brilliantly argued... a smashing achievement." - Robert Anton Wilson

I'm hoping to get Jacques to have a talk with TDG about this book and some of his other work in the coming weeks. So stand-by for that, too. I'm really proud to have helped in making this book available again - not least because of the important warning it provides to "alternative thinkers". In the newly-written Foreword to this latest edition, Jacques offers his thoughts on the UFO phenomenon based on more than 40 years of scientific research:

I believe that UFOs are physically real. They represent a fantastic technology controlled by an unknown form of consciousness. But I also believe that it would be dangerous to jump to premature conclusions about their origin and nature because the phenomenon serves as the vehicle for images that can be manipulated to promote belief systems tending to the long-term transformation of human society.

I've always held Jacques Vallee in the highest esteem. And that was before I saw his CV...

Dr. Vallée was born in Pontoise, France. He received his Bachelor of Science degree in mathematics from the Sorbonne, followed by his Master of Science in astrophysics from the University of Lille. He began his professional life as an astronomer at the Paris Observatory in 1961. He was awarded the Jules Verne Prize for his first science-fiction novel in French.

He came to the United States in 1962 and began working in astronomy at the University of Texas at Austin at whose MacDonald Observatory he worked on NASA's first project making a detailed informational map of Mars.

In 1967, Vallée received a Ph.D. in computer science from Northwestern University. While at the Institute for the Future from 1972 to 1976m he was a principal investigator on the large NSF project for computer networking which developed the first conferencing system -- Planning Network (PLANET) -- on the ARPANET many years before the Internet was formed.

Along with his mentor astronomer J. Allen Hynek, Dr. Vallée carefully studied the problem of UFOs for many years and served as the real-life model for the character portrayed by François Truffaut in Steven Spielberg's film "Close Encounters of the Third Kind".

This release is the first in a number of new publications I'll be releasing over the next month, including [Darklore](#) #2. All book sales obviously help to keep TDG running. So if any take your fancy, it's a good way to get something for yourself and contribute to the *Grail* at the same time. And of course, I appreciate your help in spreading the word to others about these quality publications!

=====

<http://dailygrail.com/features/jacques-vallee-messengers-of-deception>

As mentioned recently [above], *Daily Grail Publishing* has just released a reprint of Jacques Vallee's UFO classic *Messengers of Deception* ([Amazon US](#) and [Amazon UK](#)).

Last week I had a quick chat with Jacques about the book and the controversy that it created in ufology. It was intentionally short. I could talk to Jacques for a couple of days on all manner of topics. But in this case, I just wanted to address the elements of his work which have made him(as he describes it) "a heretic among heretics" -- namely, his concern about uncritical acceptance of the UFO phenomenon and also the "psychic" manifestations found in UFO reports which suggest that they may not be "nuts&bolts" craft.

TDG: Jacques, thanks for talking with us here at [The Daily Grail](#). Let's get straight to the new release. The original publication of Messengers of Deception in 1979 marked quite a turning point in your standing with the ufology community.

Your warning that we should be more careful about embracing the phenomenon -- and that its underlying qualities could well be negative and deceitful in nature rather than benevolent -- was rejected by many (and still seems to cause angst to this day). I'm keen to know what acted as the catalyst for the writing of Messengers of Deception and if you have thoughts on why so many in the UFO research community paint it as a betrayal of sorts?

Jacques Vallee: The evidence for an "undercurrent" of deceit behind some alleged UFO cases only becomes visible when you spend time in the field interviewing witnesses and tracking down the evidence. It became annoying to me because it represented a waste of time and a distraction from studying genuine observations. Researchers who collect reports only through books or media accounts would not necessarily encounter this level of the phenomenon and would understandably resist the suggestion that the belief in *extraterrestrial* intervention is being manipulated to serve political or cultist goals.



Even people who are fully aware of this negative aspect don't want to bring it up into the open because they think it will call disrepute to the subject. Many erstwhile ufologists don't want the deceptive reports exposed just as the Catholic Church long denied instances of abuse in its ranks. Whistle-blowing is never welcome.

I have even been accused of having an "addled brain" in the august pages of the Society for Scientific Exploration! My own position has always been that -- on the contrary -- the best way to gain the respect of the intellectual community is to expose hoaxes, sloppy research, and manipulation whenever we encounter them.

TDG: The underlying message of the book seems more relevant now than ever. In the last few years, we've had the "Serp" case gain high traction in certain parts of the community. And now the "CARET Drones" story seems to have taken on a life of its own despite there being little to no evidence behind either. Considering the dangers in uncritical belief that you warn of in Messengers of Deception, do you think high profile ufologists and media should be more diligent in exercising a "duty of care" when presenting these cases so eagerly?

Jacques Vallee: If we do not establish a high standard for the data we publish, the entire field suffers. Then it becomes easy for skeptics to claim that the phenomenon only appears before "cranks and weirdoes" as astrophysicist Stephen Hawking recently stated in England. This is exacerbated by the increased credulity of the public and its blatant exploitation by the media. It seems that people -- including some highly-educated folks -- are ready to believe almost anything they see on the Internet or on Larry King.

TDG: In Messengers of Deception, you warn people to be careful of "psy-ops" initiated by intelligence agencies and the military. You cite the World War II case of the 'London Controlling Section' (LCS) whose sole purpose was strategic deception, often using "tricks of science". How far do we take this caution though?

A large portion of ufologists -- most of the individuals who have worked in researching/conducting remote-viewing and also a significant portion of parapsychologists -- all have fairly strong links to military or intelligence groups. Should we therefore be highly skeptical of the claims made in regards to each topic, even if it seems scientifically sound?

Jacques Vallee: The same standards should apply here that apply in Science generally: Look at the evidence behind every claim; track down the references; and test the data yourself. People linked to the intelligence community of the major countries have been closely involved in studying UFO cases since World War II. That interest is legitimate whether it is purely personal (as most of them claim) or related to their official duties. The same is true in parapsychology.

This only becomes a problem when that quasi-official interest goes beyond pure research and extends to actually faking sightings, disseminating false photographs or films, and promoting weird beliefs either to serve as distraction from actual intelligence operations or as a cover for the development of advanced prototypes.

A good example is given by the claims of UFOs seen over the USSR in the 1970s that were planted by the KGB to cover-up the launching of Soviet satellites that violated the SALT treaties. Every nation can play this game. And has.

TDG: When we look at ufology in the 1960s versus today, I'm not sure a lot of progress has been made (perhaps even the opposite). Is ufology a "sisyphean endeavour" unworthy of our prolonged attention? You've personally devoted almost 50 years of research and writing to exploring the phenomenon. Can you give a simple opinion to the question what is behind the UFO phenomenon?

Jacques Vallee: You're asking me 2 different questions here. I have convinced myself that there was a real UFO phenomenon once the errors, hoaxes, and occasional manipulations were screened out. We do know a great deal more today than we did just 10 years ago thanks to dedicated researchers who have invested their time and resources to documenting the data. That is not as good as a serious scientific research effort. But one should never underestimate what can be achieved by motivated amateurs. This being said, it would be unrealistic to expect quick solutions in this field as in any other scientific endeavor.

I have also been interested in the nature of Consciousness. And that field has not gotten closer to a solution in 50 years, either. Similarly, look at some of the lingering enigmas in Archaeology or in Medicine. All we can do is document our data and hope someone will make sense of it at a later time.

TDG: Turning to another aspect of your research that has made you a "heretic" within ufology: You were one of the first to explore the idea that UFO events were as much "*psychic*" in nature as "physical". Can you detail some of these "*psychic*" aspects and what you think the cause is?

Jacques Vallee: This is still a little-known aspect of the sightings because most investigators don't probe into this area either for lack of background in parapsychology or simply because they think they already know the answer and it must involve simple "nuts&bolts" spacecraft. It takes a very brave witness to bring up such experiences in the face of skeptical researchers.

I don't believe a UFO observation makes anyone "*psychic*" (to use the popular terminology). But the phenomenon comes in an environment of manifestations that include heightened

awareness of synchronicities, *paranormal* sounds and lights, and occasionally absurd coincidences similar to those described in the *poltergeist* literature.

TDG: On that note, Margaret Mead once wrote: "When we want to understand something strange, something previously unknown, we have to begin with an entirely different set of questions. What is it? How does it work? Are there recurrent regularities?"

I've been intrigued myself with some of these "recurrent regularities" when it comes to *paranormal* phenomenon -- in particular, [the strange sounds heard](#) although there are other things such as strange fogs, odd tastes and smells in the mouth -- but find it odd that ufology research doesn't seem to address these so much.

From your own research, have you been able to identify such "recurrent regularities" which to me would provide solid evidence that there is some sort of objective, structured phenomenon at work here?

Jacques Vallee: The phenomenon is very robust in its manifestations -- both physical and physiological. The former can be seen when plotting the time of day when observations are made (the "Law of the Times") and the development of specific waves or flaps. Physical traces, interference with car ignition, patterns of light phenomena, and energy have all been documented by serious authors. The physiological factors include evidence of exposure to UV radiation, frequent effects on the eyes (from conjunctivitis to temporary blindness), skin blisters or injuries in reaction to focused beams of light, temporary inhibition of muscle control, disturbances in the sleep cycle, and general fatigue and anemia lasting over 7 days and life-threatening in some extreme cases.

TDG: Despite these intriguing pieces of evidence though, "skeptics" (of the CSICOPian kind) still tend to write off ufology as a mass of "Venus sightings". Is the skeptical/rationalist movement -- and Academia in general -- guilty of ignoring the phenomenon based almost purely on intellectual ego and fear of embarrassment? And is there a danger that by alienating themselves (pardon the pun) from the experiences of the public, they will actually enable those very beliefs? As you rightly note in [Messengers of Deception](#): "When the Establishment is rational, absurdity is dynamite."

Jacques Vallee: By denying the reality of the reports, brushing aside the witnesses (including trained observers like pilots or military personnel) and treating them like fools or crooks, the academic skeptics are actually teaching the public that Science is impotent at studying the phenomenon.

As the belief in the reality of UFOs grows among the population along with the evidence that a real phenomenon exists, people naturally tend to turn away from science in their search for answers. And that is a very dangerous trend.

TDG: Similarly, in [Messengers of Deception](#) you note that "the experience of a close encounter with a UFO is a shattering physical and mental ordeal". Are UFO experiencers unfairly shunned (and worse, ridiculed) by medical and psychological support networks, based simply on the nature of their experience?

Jacques Vallee: What these people go through is worse than being shunned. In most cases, they are left to fend for themselves and become easy preys for groups with quick answers. In the words of a woman who wrote to me after she had a horrible experience she interpreted as an alien

abduction, followed by a series of disastrous hypnotic sessions at the hands of incompetent researchers: *"The ufologists were worse than the beings who abducted me."*

The vacuum that has been created by academic neglect is getting filled by all kinds of irrational belief systems, often linked to conspiracy theories and political paranoia. That was true when I wrote the book 30 years ago. And it is even more true now.

The 2008 reprint of Jacques Vallee's classic work Messengers of Deception has been re-edited by Dr Vallee and also comes with a new Foreword. You can purchase the book via [Amazon US](#) and [Amazon UK](#).

Jacques Vallee was born in France where he received a B.S. in mathematics at the Sorbonne and an M.S. in astrophysics at Lille University. Coming to the U.S. as an astronomer at the University of Texas where he co-developed the first computer-based map of Mars for NASA, Jacques later moved to Northwestern University where he received his Ph.D. in computer science.

He went on to work at SRI International and the Institute for the Future where he directed the project to build the world's first network-based groupware system as a Principal Investigator on Arpanet (the prototype for the Internet). He is now a venture capitalist, and lives in San Francisco. He continues to research the UFO phenomenon.

Reader Comments

1. Friday, 06/20/2008, 1:38pm, Paolo :

I am really excited by this and have a copy in my Amazon basket waiting for payday. Once again, TDG comes up trumps and thank you Greg for your hard work.

I also believe that Vallee's work has been groundbreaking and he is one of the first proponents (if not the first) in the idea that UFO's are not "nuts&bolts" machines and begins a line of work which takes us through John Keels work, through much of Kenneth Grant's ideas, and on to some of the ideas explored in the latest "Indiana Jones" file.

I have also commented on this on my forum so hope it brings some more people your way -- <http://psychicexplorer.proboards76.com/index.cgi> .

Cheers -- Paolo

<http://www.spectrallight.com>

2. Saturday, 06/21/2008, 7:03pm, purrlgurrl :

I read this in its original printing and it scared the (*&*&) out of me. It shed much needed light on some of the murkier, crazier, and more sinister aspects of the UFO sociological phenomenon.

With the proliferation of the Internet, I suspect what Dr. Vallee described has grown exponentially. The Web is littered with self-proclaimed UFO/paranormal "investigators" and "experts" (present company excepted) who seem to have an underlying agenda ranging from the purely mercenary to Lord-knows-what.

Thank you for reprinting this valuable examination of some of the darker aspects of UFO culture. It likely will prove to be even more relevant today.

3. Sunday, 06/22/2008, 3:59am, Greg :

purrlgurrl wrote: With the proliferation of the Internet, I suspect what Dr. Vallee described has grown exponentially. The Web is littered with self-proclaimed UFO/paranormal "investigators" and "experts" (present company excepted) who seem to have an underlying agenda ranging from the purely mercenary to Lord-knows-what.

Funny you should mention that. I was thinking of writing up a post contemplating the "Drone" phenomenon in regards to what Vallee wrote in MoD. Now if I can just milk the 25th and 26th hours out of the day, I'll be set!

;))

When I approached Jacques, that was actually one of my points. That I think MoD is probably as important -- if not more -- in the modern age as it was in 1979.

Kind regards,
Greg

4. Monday, 06/23/2008, 12:13pm, Rick MG s:

Have you read Whitley Strieber's recent blog on the "drones"? He makes a spurious argument (not unlike Randi) that since no one accepted the challenge to reproduce the CARAT documents, then they must be real.

Surely he's heard of Photoshop and InDesign? I'm very disappointed in Strieber. And you would expect better from Linda Moulton Howe as well.

5. Thursday, 07/17/2008, 6:49pm, drew hempel :

It's more than ironic that I clued Prescott into Kingsley (as is documented on his blog). But then Prescott banned me for focusing on what the Chinese call the "microcosmic orbit" or "small universe" or "small heavenly circuit" which is the 12 harmonic nodes along the outside of the body -- based on the 12 notes of the music scale with Yang as 2:3, the perfect 5th and Yin as 3:4, the perfect 4th

I discovered this secret basis for nonwestern harmonics and documented it in my Masters thesis "Epicenters of Justice" (2001), freely readable and linked at <http://nonduality.com/hempel.htm>

My blogbook "When the Mothership Lands" connects this sound alchemy to UFOs and philosophy of science in further detail. It's a VERY radical viewpoint and so remains on the fringe of the more "rationalist" anomaly scene. Non-Western music is not science but does govern the structure of science through the "mass-squared inversely proportional to energy frequency distance" equation.

Related Articles

Nick Redfern -- [There's Something in the Woods](#)

Jim Steinmeyer -- [Charles Fort and Magic](#)

Charles Fort -- [Jim Steinmeyer](#)

[The Bloodline Tomb](#)

**if on the Internet, Press <BACK> on your browser to return to
the previous page (or go to www.stealthskater.com)**

**else if accessing these files from the CD in a MS-Word session, simply <CLOSE> this
file's window-session; the previous window-session should still remain 'active'**